

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.G. Box 1410
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,272	06/05/2001	Barry Appelman	06975-054001	6031
<sup>26171</sup> FISH & RICH	7590 07/06/2007 ARDSON P.C.	· ·	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 102	2		NAWAZ, ASAD M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Appl	Application No. Applicant(s)				
			373,272	APPELMAN, BAR	RRY		
Office Action Summary		Exar	miner	Art Unit			
		Asad	M. Nawaz	2155			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHI0 - Exte afte - If N0 - Faild Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. Itutory period will apply will, by statute, cause to	OF THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo he application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ( ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>07 Februar</i>	v 2007	•			
2a)☐	This action is FINAL. 2		<del>-</del>				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disnosit	ion of Claims	oo amaar ah pan	o quay.o, 1000 o	.5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.			
	•						
4)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
<b>€</b> \□	4a).Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn troi	m consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or elect	ion requirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b)□ objected to	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is r	equired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.	•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received in	Application No	·		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority do	cuments have bee	n received in this National	l Stage		
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.			
	•		•				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) 🗵 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date  f Informal Patent Application			
- —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other: _	, ,			
	No. of the Control of						

Application/Control Number: 09/873,272

**Art Unit: 2155** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the RCE filed 2/7/07. No claims have been amended, added, or canceled. Acknowledgment is made of the amendment to the specification. Claims 1-37 are pending prosecution.

## **Priority**

2. The claim for priority has been reviewed in light of the petition decision 3/13/07. Upon reconsideration, the claim for priority has been granted.

## **Double Patenting**

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-18 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6385656. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/873,272

Art Unit: 2155

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 30-37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. More specifically, claims 30-37 are directed toward a computer program for performing steps.

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

Both types of "descriptive material" are nonstatutory when claimed as descriptive material per se. Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759. When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31

**Art Unit: 2155** 

USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

6. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored in a computer-readable medium, in a computer, on an electromagnetic carrier signal does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in Benson were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer.").

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1, 22, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Steely Jr et al (USPAT 5,829,051).

Application/Control Number: 09/873,272

**Art Unit: 2155** 

9. As to claims 1, 22, and 30, Steely Jr et al teaches a method, and computer program for determining a digital signature of a requested file stored by at least one provider node in the network system (abstract);

looking up the digital signature in an index of signatures (col 8, 49-67), and forwarding a previously compressed version of the requested file that has been stored at an intermediate node when the digital signature is found in the index of signatures (col 2, lines 46-66).

## Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMN

MM

SALEH NAJJAH SALEH NAJJAH SALEH NAJJAH SALEH NAJJAH